

Preliminary communication

PHOTOLYTIC GENERATION OF $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_4\text{MeTHF}$, $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_3(\text{MeTHF})_2$,
 (2 ISOMERS), AND $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_4\text{NEt}_3$; RELEVANCE TO REACTIONS OF
 $\text{Fe}_2(\text{CO})_9$

JOHN D. BLACK and PAUL S. BRATERMAN*

Department of Chemistry, University of Glasgow, Glasgow G12 8 QQ (Great Britain)

(Received October 16th, 1974)

Summary

The title compounds have been prepared from $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$ by glassy matrix photolysis; there is evidence for pre-photolytic ligand $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$ interaction.

Some years ago the species $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_4$ (I) was invoked as an intermediate in the reactions of $\text{Fe}_2(\text{CO})_9$ [1] and this interpretation is broadly confirmed by studies of species $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_4(\text{olefin})$ in hydrocarbon solution [2]. It has recently been shown that the behaviour of $\text{Fe}_2(\text{CO})_9$ in tetrahydrofuran (THF) is different from that in other reaction media, and $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_4\text{THF}$ (II) has been suggested as an intermediate [3]. We now describe the results of some experiments in which $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$ was photolysed in the presence of potential ligands. These results support the proposed intermediate I and explain some apparent anomalies.

The IR spectrum of $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$ in hydrocarbon glasses molar in MeTHF* is considerably different from that in pure hydrocarbon glass. We ascribe the differences to loose coordination of MeTHF, probably to one or more equatorial CO groups of $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$ [4]. Photolysis produces initially free CO and a species which we assign as $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_4\text{MeTHF}$ (substituent axial) on the basis of its infrared spectrum (2062m (A_1), 1963s (A_1), 1946vs, 1940(sh) (E)). The splitting of the E band which is more pronounced in pure MeTHF glass, is also shown by the E' mode of $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$ under our conditions**. In hydrocarbon glass with lower concentration of MeTHF ($\sim 0.1 M$) there are also bands present similar to those formed when $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$ is photolysed in methane [5] or undoped hydrocarbon glass [6]. Further photolysis gives rise to two species III and IV which we assign

* 4/1 methylcyclohexane—*isopentane* 10^{-2} molar in $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$, (nominal 77 K, liq. N_2) in VLT-2 FH-01 cell assembly with sapphire windows unless otherwise stated. MeTHF = 2-methyltetrahydrofuran.

** We have considered and rejected an assignment of I as $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_4\text{MeTHF}$ (equatorial). The band pattern is qualitatively highly reminiscent of those we have observed in several species of type $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_4\text{L}$ (L = e.g. $\text{P}(\text{C}_2\text{H}_4\text{CH}_3)_3$, [1], $\text{P}(\text{OMe})_3$, $\text{P}(\text{NMe}_2)_3$, [7]), and the forms of the split E bands are extremely similar to those of the E' band of $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$ in both the environments discussed.

as $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_3(\text{MeTHF})_2$ (bis-axial and axial-equatorial* respectively) from their IR spectra [in hydrocarbon glass, III: 1900 (E'); IV: 1990, 1882, 1865; in pure MeTHF glass, III: 2012vw (A_1'), 1895(br) (E'); IV: 1980 (A'), 1877 (A'), 1855 (A'') cm^{-1}]. III gave the strongest secondary photolysis band in hydrocarbon, while in MeTHF the spectrum of IV was more pronounced than that of III; these differences are consistent with our assignments and with the expected effects of a polar solvent, which should facilitate the less symmetrical reaction pathway. Reactions in THF-doped hydrocarbon glass are similar.

Spectra of $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$ in hydrocarbon glass 1 M in triethylamine also showed distortion before photolysis. On photolysis at least two new species were formed. One of these V persisted after warm up to 175 K and refreezing and is assigned as $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_4\text{N}(\text{Et})_3$ (substituent axial) [$\nu(\text{CO})$ 2045 (A_1), 1954 (A_1), 1925, 1920 (collapsing to 1924 on warming and refreezing) (E)]. The other species ($\nu(\text{CO})$ 1945, 1892) disappears on warming, and is presumably an unsaturated fragment of some kind.

We note that in some circumstances (e.g. IV) an equatorial position in substituted $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$ can be occupied by a σ -donating, non- π -accepting ligand; this lends credence to the suggestion [3] that $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_4\text{L}$ (equatorial) (L = pyridine, pyrazine) are possible pseudorotation intermediates in the axial-equatorial CO exchange of $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_4\text{L}$ (axial), if this proceeds by a true Berry mechanism. We note also the facile formation of species $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_3(\text{MeTHF})_2$ and $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_3(\text{THF})_2$; these could possibly explain the complexity of the spectrum of $\text{Fe}_2(\text{CO})_9/\text{THF}/\text{amine}$ reaction mixtures [3] and the role of a CO atmosphere. We suggest that lighting conditions should be controlled and specified in all work relating to substitution reactions of metal carbonyls.

References

- 1 P.S. Braterman and W.J. Wallace, *J. Organometal. Chem.*, 30 (1971) C17.
- 2 G. Cardaci and V. Narciso, *J. Chem. Soc. Dalton*, (1972) 2289.
- 3 F.A. Cotton and J.M. Troup, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, 96 (1974) 3438.
- 4 Conclusions based on changes in low temperature IR spectrum in $\nu(\text{CO})$ and $\delta(\text{MCO})$ regions (AgCl windows) (J.D. Black and P.S. Braterman, to be published). Photolysis with medium pressure Hg lamp with filter cutting off wavelengths < 305 nm.
- 5 M. Poliakoff, Ph.D. Thesis, (Cambridge), 1972.
- 6 J.D. Black and P.S. Braterman, *Chem. Soc. Symp. Matrix Methods*, London, May, 1974.
- 7 P.S. Braterman, *J. Organometal. Chem.*, 11 (1968) 198.

*Relative intensities are not consistent with the assignment of this species as bis-equatorial, although other arrangements of ligands, not based on a trigonal bipyramid, cannot be ruled out. Both III and IV are presumably coordinatively saturated, since they form in donor solvent glass.